A WEEKLY COMMENTARY



NEWS HIGHLIGHTS

- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
 - COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS

The Price of Freedom is Eternal Vigilance —

Vol. 51. No. 06.

Print Post Publication Number 100000815

13th February, 2015

IN THIS ISSUE

Our Treasonous Political Class Just Can't Replace Us Fast Enough!	2
Charlie Hebdo – The Gift That Keeps Giving	2
Monopoly Is The Name Of The Game: Let Us Not Be Useful Idiots	3
Climate Grief: Believers [Are] Mourning	5
Yes, There Is Greed And Profiteering – But <i>Who</i> Is To Blame?	5
The Concept Of Social Credit 'Gaining Traction'	6
Queensland Government Knocks Over The Witches Hats	7
Email Israel Shamir Shares From A Contact On 9/11	7
100 Years Ago: Machines Were Seriously 'Displacing Labour'	8
Another Recent Example Of 'Human Labour Displacement'	8

THOUGHT FOR THE WEEK

Sufficient the Day the Evil thereof: "It is a Law of the Universe that a greater power cannot be overcome by a lesser one, of the same sort, at the point of impact. It follows that the Money Power cannot be overcome by money, nor the Great Conspiracy by a counter-conspiracy. In the unlikely event that we could appear to have achieved such a thing, it would be an illusion, because if we could exert greater money power than the Money Power, it could only be because we had become the Money Power ourselves, and if we could out-conspire the Conspiracy, it could only be because we had ourselves become the Top Conspirators. This, indeed, is the process which is going on all the time, and far from overthrowing the Conspiracy, it continually rejuvenates it. All this is a doctrine of despair only for those who do not believe that there is a greater power operating in the world than the Money Power. For them, literally, there is not a hope in Hell! But for those of us who know that the power of money, and of the Conspiracy which has arisen from it, is only a distorted shadow of reality in the minds of people, the prospect is bright with hope, and with an adventurous quest for means of letting that reality through, and of channelling that greater power back into the World.

The problem, We know, is soluble; the task, we are sure, can be done, like every other major task, piecemeal, on the scale in which We live, the nearest bit first. But tackled first upon the world scale, it is insoluble, and for ever beyond our reach."

- -A Memorandum from Geoffrey and Elizabeth Dobbs "Conservatism is not enough" (1964)

A Young Man of His People: There has to be a good reason why Rob Katter received such a massive vote in Mt Isa, which is, after all, a traditional Labor electorate. You see, the Katter family arrived in the North around the 1890's - and they have been fighting for their people ever since. They love them, believe in them, struggle for them and the feeling is mutual. How do I know this? Because my family went North in 1912 - and they have either

been friends with - or been represented by - the Katters ever since. So here's a suggestion for all those trendy new-breed politicians who are still trying to figure out what really went wrong last Saturday (31st January): stop the spin, don't lie and start caring about your people. The voters are never wrong - whether we like it or not.

- - Heather Brown Pascoe, https://www.facebook.com/OVHRepro



Everyone, Please Step Up and become involved: Rob Katter wants to know your policy priorities - so let him know. This is the first real opportunity for rural Queensland to get the best possible outcome.

Rob Katter Member for Mount Isa writes: "We have an opportunity to get good governance for Queensland. I do not want to negotiate on party lines but for the best outcomes for my electorate and for Queensland."

Go to it People of Queensland: Email your greatest policy priority to Rob Katter <u>mount.isa@parliament.qld.gov.au</u>



For our American readers' interest... M. OLIVER HEYDORN A SPEAKER AT THE NABIG CONGRESS

Friday, 1.20pm-2.20pm. The Fourteenth Annual North America Basic Income Guarantee Congress Basic Income and Economic Citizenship February 26-March 1, 2015 Sheraton New York Times Square Hotel 811 Seventh Avenue at 53rd Street, New York, NY

NABIG SESSION 4: To Have and Have Not in the Twenty-First Century Economy

Michael Lewis, "Beyond The Deserving/Undeserving Dichotomy: Genetics, Poverty, and Social Welfare Policy"

Oliver Heydorn, "A National Dividend vs. A Basic Income - Similarities and Differences"

Karl Widerquist, "Institutional aspects of the Piketty Observation and the Case for BIG"

Moderator: Troy Henderson

For more information about the Eastern Economics Association Annual Meeting, visit the EEA website:

http://www.quinnipiac.edu/eea/41st-annual-conference/.

Website: USBIG.net.

Our Treasonous Political Class Just Can't Replace Us Fast Enough!

Spotlighting in New Zealand: "Want this in Canada? "asks a Canadian correspondent. This photo may be every real estate developer, realtor and immigration consultant's dream for Canada, but for the other 98% of us, it looks to be a potential NIGHTMARE -- the replacement of Canada's European founding/settler people.



Don't you agree gentle reader – this photo, taken in New Zealand, is truly worth a thousand words? The words of Harington come to mind...

Treason doth never prosper: what's the reason? Why, if it prosper, none dare call it treason. (Sir John Harington 1561—1612)

CHARLIE HEBDO – THE GIFT THAT KEEPS GIVING Francis Carr Begbie, Occidental Observer, February 3, 2015

Source: http://

www.theoccidentalobserver.net/2015/02/ charlie-hebdo-the-gift-that-keeps-giving/ Charlie Hebdo affair is the gift that keeps on giving if you want to understand the contours of power in the West today. There are many past incidents which, although trifling at the time, now take on a whole new importance when seen through the lens of Charlie Hebdo - this solid gold BBC radio debate is one of them. (https://www.youtube.com/watch? y=wA7LwdTIBYI)

On Holocaust Memorial Day 2013 the Sunday Times ran a Gerald Scarfe cartoon which showed a bloodthirsty Benjamin Netanyahu cementing up a wall with the bodies of dead Palestinians. It was a gory, tasteless work which was par for the course for the artist and which would seem to fall well within the lampoonists terms of engagement as claimed by the post-modern supporters of #JeSuisCharlie - i.e. nothing is sacred and no-one is beyond satire...

Bell's response is half-hearted and uninformed. "The problem with this argument is that extraneous emotions like blood libel are drawn in," he says feebly. Bell said the cartoon was anti-Netanyahu rather than anti-Jewish. Pollard was having none of it. "I defy anyone not to see that this cartoon is about Benjamin Netanyahu glorifying in the blood of Palestinians."

So the pattern of complete intolerance to criticism of Israel, even in cartoon fashion, was there two years ago. But now thanks to the Charlie Hebdo affair, we have a prism through which to view it clearly. The Jews as an elite group will react to a cartoon they find offensive by demanding apologies and doing their best to get the culprit fired. In any case, they have raised the costs of printing any cartoon that even mentions that Israelis have shed the blood of Palestinians. Cartoonists like Scarfe and Bell will certainly think twice before they produce a similar cartoon.

Muslims, as a non-elite group, have no other option than to react to such cartoons with murder. The same can be said about Muslim reaction to politicians who oppose Muslim immigration. Which is why Geert Wilders is under protective guard at all times.

But in either case, the bottom line is to control public discourse related to their ethnic group.

MONOPOLY IS THE NAME OF THE GAME: LET US NOT BE USEFUL IDIOTS

There is a very interesting legal case that is playing out in Canada at the moment. William Krehm, Anne Emmett, and Comer (The Committee for Monetary and Economic Reform:

http://www.comer.org/) filed a lawsuit on December 12th, 2011, in Federal Court to try to force a restoration of the Bank of Canada to its mandated purposes. In essence, they want the Bank of Canada to provide interest-free loans to the federal, provincial, and municipal governments, as provided for in the Bank of Canada Act. This money would be used to finance public expenditures whenever there is a budgetary deficit. Apparently, the federal government used to borrow interest-free (to at least some extent) from the Bank of Canada up until 1974. At present, governments borrow all of the necessary money (apart from any bonds they may sell to the public) from private banks at the going rate of interest. Canadians are economically burdened with the resultant debt-servicing charges because the Bank of Canada does not make use of its prerogatives in the interests of the Canadian public. The case is being prosecuted by Rocco Galati, who is widely considered to be Canada's top constitutional lawyer.

The nature of the lawsuit has been explained on <u>www.pressfortruth.ca</u> in the following terms:

"Two Canadians and a Canadian economic think tank confront the global financial powers in the Canadian Federal Court. The Canadians plead for declarations that would restore the use of the Bank of Canada for the benefit of Canadians and remove it from the control of international private entities whose interests and directives are placed above the interest of Canadians and the primacy of the constitution of Canada"

Canadian constitutional lawyer, Rocco Galati, on behalf of Canadians William Krehm, and Ann Emmett, and COMER (Committee for Monetary and Economic Reform) on December 12th, 2011 filed an action in Federal Court, to restore the use of the Bank of Canada to its original purpose, by exercising its public statutory duty and responsibility. That purpose includes making interest free loans to

municipal/provincial/federal governments for "human capital" expenditures (education, health, other social services) and /or infrastructure expenditures. The action also constitutionally challenges the government's fallacious accounting methods in its tabling of the budget by not calculating nor revealing the true and total revenues of the nation before transferring back "tax credits" to corporations and other taxpayers. The Plaintiffs state that since 1974 there has been a gradual but sure slide into the reality that the Bank of Canada and Canada's monetary and financial policy are dictated by private foreign banks and financial interests contrary to the Bank of Canada Act.

The Plaintiffs state that the Bank of International Settlements (BIS), the Financial Stability Forum (FSF) and the International Monetary Fund (IMF) were all created with the cognizant intent of keeping poorer nations in their place which has now expanded to all nations in that these financial institutions largely succeed in over-riding governments and constitutional orders in countries such as Canada over which they exert financial control. The Plaintiffs state that the meetings of the BIS and Financial Stability Board (FSB) (successor of FSF), their minutes. their discussions and deliberations are secret and not available nor accountable to Parliament, the executive, nor the Canadian public notwithstanding that the Bank of Canada policies directly emanate from these meetings. These organizations are essentially private, foreign entities controlling Canada's banking system and socio-economic policies.

The Plaintiffs state that the defendants (officials) are unwittingly and /or wittingly, in varying degrees, knowledge and intent engaged in a conspiracy, along with the BIS,

FSB, IMF to render impotent the Bank of Canada Act as well as Canadian sovereignty over financial, monetary, and socio-economic policy, and bypass the sovereign rule of Canada through its Parliament by means of banking and financial systems."<u>http://</u> <u>pressfortruth.ca/top-stories/update-bank</u> <u>-canada-vs-comer/</u>

On the 26th of January, 2015, the latest appeal on behalf of the Crown to have the case dismissed was rejected by three judges in Federal Court in Toronto. The Federal government now has 60 days to appeal the decision to the Supreme Court. Cf. http://pressfortruth.ca/topstories/case-reinstate-bank-canada/. Interestingly enough, both the case itself and the various developments that have occurred are not being covered at all by the mainstream media. While Mr. Galati's other cases have regularly received wall-to-wall coverage across the country, this particular case, which he believes is probably his most important case to date, has so far been ignored. When questioned about this, Mr. Galati said that he has a firm basis for believing that the Canadian government has requested or ordered that the mainstream media not cover the case (he could not divulge his sources), and that, in his opinion, the government does control the media to a certain extent and on certain limited issues. He also added that he does not believe that we in Canada are living in a democracy. In fact, as far back as 1999, he has been on record as claiming that we have entered a 'quiet dictatorship.'

As far as its merits are concerned, Mr. Galati said that the case is on solid legal and constitutional grounds and his clients should win. Whether they will win or not is another question. As Mr. Galati has acknowledged: "Not all meritorious cases in our judicial system win".

From a Social Credit perspective, saving the taxpayer large sums of money and/or preserving the country from an increase in public indebtedness via the issuance of interest-free money from the Bank of Canada is certainly a good thing. [1] However, such a reform of the system does not address the fundamental problem with the present financial and economic orders: the chronic lack of consumer buying power. The macroeconomic gap between prices and incomes, which is primarily caused by how real capital (machines and equipment) are financed and how their costs are then accounted for under existing conventions, is THE issue which needs to be addressed. In the main, the present system deals with the gap by filling it with additional debt-money from the private banking system in the form of public, corporate, and consumer debts. In lieu of these palliatives, a Social Credit system would fill the gap with 'debt-free' money and distribute it to consumers, directly through a National Dividend, and

(Continued on page 4)

(Continued from page 3)

indirectly through a National Discount on retail prices. It is critical that the individual, the common consumer, be the prime beneficiary of any monetary reform and that he be accorded full control of credit-policy within the context of a properly functioning financial system.

In connection with this particular lawsuit and as a further clarification of the point just made, I should also mention that granting the government the right to fill the gap according to its policy-objectives (i.e., employing people to work on public production), or, more broadly, granting it or the state the sole right to control the whole money supply, is thoroughly incompatible with Social Credit's underlying social and political philosophy. Institutions exist to serve the interests of individuals, not the other way around. That is, individual consumers must control financial policy, not the government, the state, or the private banks. There is no point in "restoring the right to create and issue money to the state" if the state is then going to control the purposes for which producer and consumer credit are to be issued. This is the great trap of which certain monetary reformers, who are rightly concerned about the hegemony of private banking, are blissfully unaware. If, God forbid, such reformers get their way, and the state were to obtain total

monopoly control over the money supply, I think they will find to their horror that the same people who levy a great deal of control over the private and partially decentralized monetary system will be in complete control of the state system.

Monopoly is the name of the game; let us not be 'useful idiots'.

[1] Douglas often criticized the practice of relying on borrowings from private banks at the going market rate of interest in order to finance government operations. Cf., for example, C.H. Douglas, *Social Credit*, rev.ed. (Gordon Press, New York: 1973), 136-139 (emphasis mine):

"The National Debt rose between August 1914 and December 1919 from about six hundred and sixty millions sterling, to about seven thousand seven hundred millions sterling. And this rise represents, on the whole, the expenditure over that period which it was deemed impracticable to recover in current taxation. That is to say, if we take the average taxation for supply purposes over that period 1914-1918, as being about three hundred millions per annum, the amount paid by the public as consumer for the goods and services supplied to it for war purposes, was about thirteen hundred and fifty millions, and the financial cost of those goods and services was about eight thousand three hundred and fifty millions, a ratio of cost to price of about roughly 1: 6.2. In other words, goods were sold to the public at one-sixth of their apparent financial cost, and no one lost any money over it at the time. How was this done?

A considerable amount of this money (some of which may be in excess of the figures just mentioned) was created through what are known as the Ways and Means Accounts, and the working of this is described in the first report of the Committee on Currency and Foreign Exchanges, 1918, page two. Paraphrased, the process may be shortly explained as follows.

If ten million pounds credit is advanced at the Bank of England to the credit of Public (i.e. State) Deposits (which simply involves the writing up of the Public Deposits account by this amount), this amount is paid out by the Spending Departments to contractors in payment for their services, and when the cheques are cleared, passes to the credit of the contractors' bankers (Joint Stock Banks) account with the Bank of England. The Joint Stock Banks are accustomed to regard their credits with the Bank of England as cash at call and, therefore, ten million pounds is credited to the depositors of the Joint Stock Banks, and ten million pounds to the Joint Stock Banks' cash account.

As a result of this, the Joint Stock Banks, working on a ratio of one to four between so-called cash and short-date liabilities, are able to allow their customers (working on Government contracts) overdrafts to the extent of forty millions, a portion of which their customers may devote to taking up Treasury Bills or War Loans. The banks themselves may take up about eight millions of Treasury Bills or War Loan, out of their additional "deposit" balances, or they may lend about eight millions to the Bank of England to lend to the Government. Eventually, the result is the same, namely that the Government owes forty millions to the banks, through the Bank of England.

Now the first point to notice is that the result of this complicated process is exactly the same as if the Government itself had provided forty millions, in **Currency Notes, with the important** exception that the public pays 4 or 5 per cent per annum on the forty millions, instead of merely paying the cost of printing the Currency Notes. The effect on prices, while the forty millions is outstanding, is the same, and the contractors pay 6 or 7 per cent for their overdrafts instead of getting the use of the money, free. But if the forty millions is redeemed through taxation, or a Capital Levy, the public pays not only the 5 per cent per annum, together with the contractor's 6 or 7 per cent, plus a profit on both of them, but it pays the whole of the forty millions out of money which has been received in respect of wages, salaries, and dividends. So far as I am aware, no one has ever suggested that Currency Notes should be retired by taxation. It is true that when this forty millions has been repaid, both the original debt and the repayment cancel each other, and only the interest charges go to the Profit and Loss Account of the Bank. But since, as we have seen, the repayment of bank loans means the immobilisation of an equivalent amount of price-values, this only means that a fresh loan with fresh interest charges has to be created. A consideration of these facts will make it easy to understand the implacable opposition of bankers and financiers to Government paper money and their insistence on the importance of what they term redemption. The payment in current taxation of only onesixth of the price of war stores, etc., meant, therefore, that a credit grant of the other five-sixths of the price was made to the Public. The repayment of this credit is only justifiable on the assumption that banks own Public Credit."

"ON TARGET" is printed and published by The Australian League of Rights,

Postal Address: GPO Box 1052, Melbourne, 3001. Telephone: (03) 9650 9749.

Subscription \$45.00 p.a.

CLIMATE GRIEF: BELIEVERS [ARE] MOURNING...

It's denial and anger (but it sure isn't science): Comments section on Joanne Nova's website: Roy Hogue January 29, 2015 at 4:34 am

"My overwhelming emotion is anger; anger that is fuelled not so much by ignorance, but by greed and profiteering at the expense of future generations", wrote Professor Corety Bradshaw, the director of ecological modelling at the University of Adelaide.

"I am not referring to some vague, existential bonding to the future human race; rather, I am speaking as a father of a seven year-old girl who loves animals and nature in general. As a biologist, I see irrefutable evidence every day that human -driven climate disruption will turn out to be one of the main drivers of the Anthropocene mass extinction event now well under way."

I have a message for Professor Corety Bradshaw.

I have never seen the slightest sign of your alarmist predictions coming true. Not a SINGLE ONE HAS COME TRUE. In the meantime I see: the world deteriorating into wanton self indulgence; religious strife so virulent that just to be anything but a believer in one particular brand of Islam should carry a death penalty; back breaking national debt and waste of the hard earned wealth of the productive people spurred on in large part by the global warming that isn't happening; deterioration of public education into indoctrination; lying government officials; ... I could go on and on.

In March my first grandchild will be born. I fear for his future not because of climate change but because of the insanity I just recited. And frankly, if you don't like hearing that I think you know where you can go if you want to do something about it. Enough is enough.

YES, THERE IS GREED AND PROFITEERING – BUT WHO IS TO BLAME? By Betty Luks.

I had hoped to find Alexei Sayle's (1993) presentation of "Sex, Drugs & Dinner" on Youtube, but couldn't. I did find it on some internet library listings. I saw it on SBS-TV many years ago and thought it an easy-to-grasp account of what the ruthless banking institutions and multinational corporations were then doing to the Third World peoples. **The documentary was summed up thus:** A satirical documentary which critically examines the subject of 'how to make profits out of starvation'. It looks at the crops and exports of those nations whose people were/are starving. Examines the history of cash crops, the influence of richer countries, third world debt and western advertising in third world countries.

http://www.worldcat.org/title/sex-drugsdinner/oclc/709531847?

referer=di&ht=edition

Author: Alexei Sayle; Charlie Harris; Frances J Berrigan; Cicada Films; SBS-TV.

Economic and Financial Vandalism:

Twenty or more years later, the situation is much worse; but not just for Third World peoples. The peoples of the 'richer' nations are now experiencing the loss of their farms, the raping of the soils, their water being taken over and polluted by the multinationals, foreigners moving in and taking over - and the local people thrown off their lands and out of jobs and/ or businesses.

Do ask your local library for a copy to view. While the 'bigger picture' may be hard to get your mind around, Sayle's documentary presents a good picture of Australia's future – if we don't continue to take action to change direction of the Ship of State called Australia.

** Of course Jeremy Lee's 1985 DVD presentation of "Financial Credit Versus Real Credit" is on our website and it goes into more detail. http://alor.org/MOV/Financial%20Credit%20versus%20Real%20Credit.mov

FOR A MORE INDEPTH STUDY: OLIVER HEYDORN'S SOCIAL CREDIT Series on Youtube. Filmed at the League's 2014 National Seminar:

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=-ZLKmCbbx5k&feature=youtu.be

- The Wholeness of Life:
- How I came to Social Credit: <u>http://youtu.be/SrwOcVqu6ec</u>
- Social Credit Economics: <u>http://youtu.be/LJ_mEOq7Ujw</u>
- Social Credit Politics: http://youtu.be/-ZLKmCbbx5k
- Social Credit Philosophy: <u>http://youtu.be/I6qOILvMYYM</u>

To our current subscribers of 'On Target' or 'New Times Survey' these SPECIAL OFFERS,

\$25 for the Dragon Slayers data DVD or

\$55 New Times Survey subscription renewal plus the Dragon Slayers data DVD or

\$70 On Target subscription renewal plus the Dragon Slayers data DVD or

\$90 On Target and New Times subscription renewals plus the Dragon Slayers data DVD ... a further \$10 saving.

 \$110 On Target and New Times subscription renewals plus the Dragon Slayers data DVD ... plus
3 high definition DVDs of the National Weekend 2014.

This offer expires on 30th June 2015

THE CONCEPT OF SOCIAL CREDIT 'GAINING TRACTION' On Social Credit: A Simple Explanation, January 28, 2015



The founder of the Social Credit movement, Major Clifford Hugh Douglas 1879-1952

The following article by M. Oliver Heydorn has been republished on the HenryMakow.com and Abel Danger websites.

There is never enough money to buy what we produce: "Social Credit addresses a fundamental flaw in our economic system: the gap between a plethora of products and the lack of money in purchasers' hands. Social Credit refers to the ideas of the brilliant Anglo-Scottish engineer, Major Clifford Hugh Douglas (1879-1952). Douglas identified what is wrong with the industrial economy and also explained how to fix it.

The core problem is that there is never enough money to buy what we produce. In essence, people don't earn enough to afford the plethora of available consumer goods and services. This gap is caused by many factors. Profits, including profits derived from interest on loans, is only one of them. Savings and the re-investment of savings are two others. The most important cause, however, has to do with how real capital (i.e., machines and equipment) builds up costs at a faster rate than it distributes incomes to workers.

The economy must compensate for this recurring gap between prices and incomes. Since most of the money supply is created out of nothing by the banks, the present financial system fills the gap by relying on governments, firms, and consumers to borrow additional money into existence so that the level of consumer buying power can be increased.

As a society, we are always mortgaging our future earnings in order to get enough purchasing power so that we can pay present prices in full. Whenever we fail to borrow enough money, the economy stalls and the government may even start a war to reboot it. To the extent that we succeed in bridging the gap, we contribute to the building-up of a mountain of debt that can never be paid off.

Filling the gap with debt-money is also inflationary, wasteful, and puts the whole society on a production consumption treadmill. It is the prime cause behind social tensions, environmental damage, and international conflict. All of this dysfunction is tolerated because the banks profit from it. Compensating for the gap transfers wealth and power from the common consumers to the owners of the financial system.



Filling THE GAP Debt-Free

Douglas proposed that instead of filling the gap with debt-money, the gap could and should be filled with debtfree money.

This money would be created by an organ of the state, a National Credit Office, and distributed to consumers. Some of it would be issued indirectly in the form of a National Discount on all retail prices, while another portion would be issued directly in the form of a National Dividend. [1] Since the productive capacity of the modern, industrial economy is enormous, an honest representation of our productive power would allow us to enjoy an abundance of beneficial goods and services alongside increasing leisure. Our economies could become socially equitable, environmentally sustainable, and internationally concordant.

Unlike some other monetary reform proposals, Social Credit does not advocate the nationalization of the banks. It is completely opposed to any scheme that would see us jump from the frying pan of a self-serving private system into the fire of a complete state monopoly over money and its issuance. The latter would be a fine basis for the introduction of a totalitarian society. Social Crediters, by contrast, stand for the decentralization of economic and political power in favour of the individual. Social Credit's proposal for an honest monetary system is not socialist but rather anti-socialist. It is completely compatible with a free enterprise economy (incorporating free markets, private property, individual initiative, and the profit motive); cf. http://www.socred.org/blogs/view/ why-social-credit-is-not-socialism. Getting an understanding of Social Credit is well worth the effort, as it may just manage to save civilization. [1] Technological progress means machines are doing more and more of the work. Thanks to the dividend, those individuals whose labour is no longer needed by the economy would nevertheless retain an income and enjoy access to goods and services.

Oliver Heydorn (olheydorn@yahoo.ca) is the founder and director of The Clifford Hugh Douglas Institute for the Study and Promotion of Social Credit: www.socred.org. He is also the author of two recent books on the subject (available via Amazon): Social Credit Economics, and The Economics of Social Credit and Catholic Social Teaching.

You can find this article permanently at http://henrymakow.com/2015/01/social-credit-a-simple-explanation.html Also at: <u>http://www.abeldanger.net/2015/01/social-credit-simple-explanation.html</u>

QUEENSLAND GOVERNMENT KNOCKS OVER THE WITCHES HATS "The fact is that a different leader with the same policies would have led to the same result"

Writes Kelvin Thompson MP: In 2010 I visited Queensland on several occasions to give speeches about rapid population growth, in Brisbane, on the Sunshine Coast, and at the Woodford Folk Festival. I encountered great unhappiness at the impact rapid population growth was having in Brisbane and South-East Queensland, and was not surprised when the Queensland Labor Government was defeated in 2012, although the scale of the defeat was remarkable.

In many respects the Queensland Government had fallen victim to the same problems that had beset the Victorian Labor Government which was defeated in 2010. But like the Victorian Labor Party the Queensland Labor Party has now pulled off an astonishing turnaround, apparently regaining office in a single term and toppling an elected Premier in the process. Ted Baillieu was replaced by his own party and did not get to contest the election; Campbell Newman lost his seat. We Now Have an Extra Million People Every Three Years

Political commentators are astonished at this growing political volatility. Kevin Rudd was elected as Prime Minister and replaced by Julia Gillard before the 2010 election. She in turn was replaced by Kevin Rudd before the 2013 election. It is now widely speculated that Tony Abbott, too, will not get to seek re-election as Prime Minister. So what is going on? No doubt factors like broken election promises, the 24/7 media cycle, the Global Financial Crisis, and voters choosing State and Federal Governments of different complexions, are having an impact. But one feature of the past decade is regularly overlooked. In 2004 Australia had a net migration program of 100,000. Then in the space of three years it ratcheted up to well over 200,000, where it has stayed. This doubling has given Australia rapid population growth for the past decade we now have an extra million people every three years. Prime Minister Howard, who introduced this rapid increase, lost his seat at the 2007 election.

I have become convinced that rapid population growth and political instability go hand in hand. I think of this as the Witches' Hats theory of government. Think about those Advanced Driving Courses that require drivers to drive in slalom fashion through a set of plastic or rubber orange cones, commonly called witches hats. The driver's mission is to avoid the hats. If they hit a certain number, they fail the test... But as these things had been caused by rapid population growth, and the growth continued, they did not solve those problems, and paid a massive electoral price for it. For example Governments get punished for trying to sell off public assets. They do it to raise money to build new infrastructure, or pay down debts incurred

as a result of past infrastructure building. But they would not need so much money, or so much infrastructure, if the population wasn't growing so fast. The Queensland academic Jane O'Sullivan says that population growth of 2 per cent doubles the infrastructure task compared with that in a stable population. It is not only in Australia that rapid population growth drives political instability. It happens right around the world. Governments in the Scandinavian countries with slow population growth are able to solve people's problems and enjoy considerable political life expectancy. Countries which have high birth rates, like Egypt, Nigeria and the Philippines, have chaos. In the Pacific Islands Samoa has had a relatively stable population, and stable government, for decades, whereas Papua New Guinea and the Solomon Islands have had neither...

It is probably too late for Tony Abbott. But perhaps his successor, or successors, and other political leaders around Australia, might want to ask themselves "do I want to be yet another casualty of our equivalent of the Coliseum, or do I want a respectable time in office, as Prime Ministers and Premiers had as recently as the 80s and 90s?" And if so, isn't the way to improve my political life expectancy to slow the population car down and focus on solving people's real life problems?

Kelvin Thomson, President Victoria First Inc. Kelvin.Thomson.MP@aph.gov.au Source: <u>http://kelvinthomson.blogspot.com.au/2015/02/queensland-government-knocks-over.html</u>

EMAIL ISRAEL SHAMIR SHARES FROM A CONTACT ON 9/11

Shamir, -- Since we were last in touch, I discovered a formidable body of forensic evidence which shows plainly, apart from whom or what anybody may want to believe, that the twin towers and building #7 at the World Trade Center came down on 9/11 from a professionally engineered controlled demotion, and so I put my acquired expertise in forensic science and medicine to good use, and, in collaboration with a organization formed around 2300 gualified architects and engineers in the United States, Canada, and Europe, derived a proof, suitable for presentation in judicial proceedings, that 9/11 actually was an "inside job." And that realization changed my attitude, as I came to understand that the major news media and the government of the United States systematically misrepresented and covered up the facts of controlled demolition, and have done everything possible to prevent a proper, impartial, systematic examination of the telling evidence, including a tracing of leads to the culprits. I set forth for your consideration

a link publishing my efforts to secure a special prosecutor and grand jury in the State of New York:

http://www.ae911truth.org/en/newssection/41-articles/923-cyrus-vance-jrmanhattan-da-on-notice-again.html I warn you, the foregoing link contains an immense amount of information.

Proving up controlled demolition by strict legal standards in judicial or quasi-judicial proceedings is now feasible, actually an openand-shut case, although the press and the government of the United States are working frantically to prevent an investigation. But it is important to recall the comment by Lord Acton that historic responsibility must make up for want of legal responsibility, hence the solemn duty of lawyers equipped with necessary knowledge to secure a proper investigation by public authority. 9/11 is obviously enough parallel to the murder of Lincoln, or the murder of Kennedy, in reference to the culprits and in reference to the concealment or misprision of the crimes.

At the moment, my views are solicited on whether the culprits a part of an international Jewish/Zionist/Likud conspiracy, or are rather associated with the powers of high finance which control the supply of money and credit, the military industrial complex, and major news media of the United States. I am very sure that the principal clues are the letter of the Project for a New American Century to Bill Clinton in January 1998, and the report of the Project for a New American Century in September 2000, which point plainly enough to a larger combination than an entirely Jewish operation of the kind suggested by writers such as Alan Sabrosky and Christopher Bollyn who, I think, take an unduly narrow view of the subject that misses the right target of investigation.

I wonder what your views are on this question. -- Jack Graham, a. k. a. John Remington Graham of the Minnesota Bar (#3664X), 418-888-5049, jrgraham@novicomfusion.com

100 YEARS AGO: MACHINES WERE SERIOUSLY 'DISPLACING LABOUR'

"How WWI created rare career opportunities for women", *The Telegraph*, 30 October 2014.



Women workers manufacturing ammunition at Horwich works, 23 March 1917. During the First World War part of the Lancashire and Yorkshire Railway's works was given over to the manufacture of weapons, and from June 1915 onwards, around 2200 shells were made every week. These women are repairing cartridge cases in the works' boiler shop smithy. Women were widely employed in munitions factories in wartime when men served in the armed forces. Picture: © National Railway Museum/Science & Society Picture Library

C.H. Douglas saw it all from another angle. As Oliver Heydorn noted:

"... the productive capacity of the modern, industrial economy is enormous; an honest representation of our productive power would allow us to enjoy an abundance of beneficial goods and services alongside increasing leisure. Our economies could become socially equitable, environmentally sustainable, and internationally concordant."

ANOTHER RECENT EXAMPLE OF 'HUMAN LABOUR DISPLACEMENT'

The Henn na Hotel at the Huis Ten Bosch theme park, shown here in an architect's illustration, will have robot staff and a novel auction process for reservations in peak seasons.



"Huis Ten Bosch theme park to get hotel staffed by robots" Kyodo, 28 January 2015. *(continued next column)* Nagasaki – A hotel with robot staff and face recognition instead of room keys will open this summer in Huis Ten Bosch in Nagasaki Prefecture, the operator of the theme park said Tuesday. The two-story Henn na Hotel is scheduled to open July 17. It will be promoted with the slogan "A Commitment for Evolution," Huis Ten Bosch Co. said.

The name reflects how the hotel will "change with cuttingedge technology," a company official said. This is a play on words: "Henn" is also part of the Japanese word for change.

Robots will provide porter service, room cleaning, front desk and other services to reduce costs and to ensure comfort.

There will be facial recognition technology so guests can enter their rooms without a key.

"We will make the most efficient hotel in the world," company President Hideo Sawada told a news conference. "In the future, we'd like to have more than 90 percent of hotel services operated by robots."..."

http://www.japantimes.co.jp/news/2015/01/28/business/ huis-ten-bosch-theme-park-get-hotel-staffed-robots/ #.VM1pxCh9n0f

LEAGUE'S WEBSITE ADDRESS: www.alor.org/

THE LEAGUE'S BOOK SERVICES: -

When ordering journals, 'On Target' and 'New Times Survey' – Please make Cheques/Money Orders payable to—

'ALOR Journals' .

For educational books, videos and DVDs, etc. please make Cheques/Money Orders payable to —

'Heritage Bookshop Services'

For donations to the League please make payments to 'Australian League of Rights' or 'ALOR'

Books are available at meetings, at our Melbourne bookshop or by mail order from the following addresses:

Victoria, Tasmania: Heritage Bookshop,

Melbourne, 3000

(G.P.O. Box 1052, Melbourne, 3001).

Phone: (03) 9650 9749;

South Australia

Heritage Book Mailing Service,

P.O. Box 27, Happy Valley, 5159.

Phone: (08) 7123 7131;

All Other States: To either Victorian or South Australian addresses.

VERITASBOOKS ONLINE: <u>http://www.veritasbooks.com.au/</u> http://www.alor.org/blog/index.php

Electoral comment authorised by Louis R. Cook, Nathalia Rd, Numurkah.